

## Survey of Likely General Election Voters <br> Alabama Statewide <br> Conducted December 11 - 12, 2023 <br> $$
\text { n=620 | } \pm 3.93 \%
$$

Q1. Vote Method: How do you plan to vote in the November 2024 general election for President, U.S. Congress, and state offices?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vote in-person on Election Day | $\underline{\mathbf{5 8 9}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{9 4 . 9} \%}$ |
| Definitely vote in-person on Election Day | 483 | $77.9 \%$ |
| Probably vote in-person on Election Day | 106 | $17.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Vote absentee by mail | $\underline{\mathbf{3 1}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5 . 1}} \%$ |
| Probably vote absentee by mail | 17 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Definitely vote absentee by mail | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |

Q2. State Direction: Generally speaking, would you say things in Alabama are headed in the right direction or off on the wrong track?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Right direction | $\underline{\mathbf{2 9 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{4 8 . 0} \%}$ |
| Definitely the right direction | 263 | $42.5 \%$ |
| Mostly the right direction |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 2 9}}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 9 \%}$ |
| Wrong track | 105 | $16.0 \%$ |
| Mostly the wrong track |  |  |
| Definitely the wrong track | 94 | $15.2 \%$ |
| Unsure | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q3. Generic Ballot: If the election was held today, and you had to make a choice, would you vote for the Republican or Democratic candidate for U.S. Congress?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Republican candidate | $\underline{\mathbf{3 6 6}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5 9 . 1} \%}$ |
| Definitely the Republican candidate | 297 | $48.0 \%$ |
| Probably the Republican candidate | 69 | $11.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Democratic candidate | $\underline{\mathbf{1 8 1}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 9 . 1} \%}$ |
| Probably the Democratic candidate | 146 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Definitely the Democratic candidate |  |  |
|  | 73 | $11.8 \%$ |
| Unsure | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q4. Healthcare Priority: Which type of healthcare in Alabama do you think is most underserved?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mental healthcare | 261 | $42.1 \%$ |
| General care | 66 | $10.6 \%$ |
| Preventative care | 54 | $8.7 \%$ |
| Long-term care | 53 | $8.5 \%$ |
| Substance use care | 26 | $4.2 \%$ |
| Neurological care | 8 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Pediatric care | 6 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Rehabilitative care | 6 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Cardiovascular care | 6 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Palliative care | 5 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Unsure | 131 | $21.1 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q5. Mental Health Rank: When thinking about all the types of healthcare in Alabama, what level of priority would you give to mental healthcare and substance abuse treatment?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Important | $\underline{576}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{9 3 . 0}} \mathbf{1 3 2}$ |
| Most important | 380 | $61.3 \%$ |
| Very important | 64 | $10.4 \%$ |
| Somewhat important |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{4 . 0}} \mathbf{2 . 6 \%}$ |
| Not important | 9 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Not very important |  |  |
| Not at all important | 19 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Unsure | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q6. Mental Health Direction: Generally speaking, would you say mental health issues in Alabama are better, worse, or about the same compared to a few years ago?

|  | Freq. | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Better | 37 | 5.9\% |
| Much better | 5 | 0.7\% |
| Somewhat better | 32 | 5.2\% |
| About the same | 179 | 28.9\% |
| Worse | 321 | 51.7\% |
| Somewhat worse | 162 | 26.1\% |
| Much worse | 159 | 25.6\% |
| Unsure | 84 | 13.5\% |
| Total | 620 | 100.0\% |

Q7. Mental Health Access: Generally speaking, would you say mental health and substance use treatment in Alabama is accessible or inaccessible?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessible | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1}}$ | $\frac{\mathbf{3 2 . 5 \%}}{4.0 \%}$ |
| Very accessible | 177 | $28.5 \%$ |
| Somewhat accessible | $\underline{\mathbf{2 9 6}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{4 7 . 7 \%}}$ |
| Inaccessible | 163 | $26.3 \%$ |
| Somewhat inaccessible | 132 | $21.3 \%$ |
| Very inaccessible |  |  |
|  | 123 | $19.8 \%$ |
| Unsure | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q8. Mental Health Impact: Do you agree or disagree that mental health and substance abuse issues are negatively impacting our society?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Agree | $\underline{558}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Strongly agree | 146 | $66.3 \%$ |
| Somewhat agree |  | $23.6 \%$ |
| Disagree | $\underline{\mathbf{3 6}}$ | $\underline{5.8 \%}$ |
| Somewhat disagree | 18 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree |  |  |


| Unsure | 26 | $4.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q9. Mental Health Effects: Which parts of society do you think mental health and substance abuse issues are affecting the most? Please select all that apply.

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama public schools | 272 | $43.9 \%$ |
| The Alabama prison system | 221 | $35.7 \%$ |
| Public safety in Alabama | 332 | $53.6 \%$ |
| Overall healthcare in Alabama | 301 | $48.6 \%$ |
| Unsure | 30 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Total | 558 | $90.0 \%$ |

Q10. Personal Treatment: Thinking now about your own experiences with mental health and your community... Have you, your family, or your friend found help and access to mental health or drug and alcohol treatment and counseling when needed? Remember, your responses are completely anonymous.

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 194 | $31.3 \%$ |
| No, we were unable to find accessible | 112 | $18.0 \%$ |
| mental healthcare or substance |  |  |
| No, we did not seek mental healthcare or | 222 | $35.8 \%$ |
| substance treatment  <br> Unsure 92 | $14.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q11. Obstacles to Care: What were your biggest barriers to accessing mental health services? Please select all that apply.

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lack of ability to pay | 61 | $54.8 \%$ |
| Lack of insurance | 37 | $33.3 \%$ |
| No treatment services near me | 55 | $49.4 \%$ |
| Waiting list was too long | 49 | $43.6 \%$ |
| Didn't know how or where to look | 30 | $27.2 \%$ |
| Was afraid/ashamed to seek treatment | 14 | $12.4 \%$ |
| Unsure | 4 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Total | 112 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q12. Mental Health Crisis: Have you or someone close to you ever had a mental health crisis or attempted to harm themselves?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 285 | $45.9 \%$ |
| No | 283 | $45.6 \%$ |
| Prefer not to answer | 53 | $8.5 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q13. Crisis Response: Were you able to get a crisis response or the help you needed in a timely manner?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 105 | $36.7 \%$ |
| No | 127 | $44.5 \%$ |
| Unsure | 53 | $18.8 \%$ |
| Total | 285 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q14. 911 Mental Health: Have you ever had to call the police or an ambulance to respond to a mental health or substance use emergency?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 142 | $23.0 \%$ |
| No | 466 | $75.2 \%$ |
| Unsure | 12 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q15. 988 Awareness: Are you aware of the 988 phone number and what it is used for?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 126 | $20.2 \%$ |
| No | 461 | $74.3 \%$ |
| Unsure | 34 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q16. 988 Use: 988 is a public Suicide and Crisis Lifeline available 24/7 for people experiencing mental health crises. If you were to experience a mental health crisis, how likely would you be to use the 988 Suicide and Crisis Lifeline?

|  | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Likely | $\underline{\mathbf{4 1 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{6 7 . 2}} \mathbf{2 2 4}$ |
| Very likely | 193 | $31.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat likely |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{1 1 2}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 8 . 1} \%}$ |
| Not likely | 63 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Not very likely | 50 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Not likely at all |  |  |
|  | 91 | $14.7 \%$ |
| Unsure | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q17. 988 Not Likely Follow-up: What makes you unlikely to use the 988 Lifeline?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I am worried about receiving a bill | 1 | $0.8 \%$ |
| I am worried about police arriving | 6 | $5.4 \%$ |
| I am worried that they would not be | 19 | $16.7 \%$ |
| equipped to help |  |  |
| I am worried about being judged by <br> others | 6 | $5.3 \%$ |
| I have other resources and friends and | 45 | $40.5 \%$ |
| family that I would turn to first |  |  |
| Another reason not listed | 28 | $24.9 \%$ |
| Unsure | 7 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Total | 112 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q18. 988 Legislation: Would you support or oppose legislation that added a monthly surcharge fee of $\$ 0.50$ to your phone bill, similar to the monthly $\$ 1.86$ fee for 911 services paid from your phone bill, that would go towards increasing access to mental health crisis services?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Support | $\underline{\mathbf{3 2 5}}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 4 \%}$ |
| Strongly support | 150 | $28.3 \%$ |
| Somewhat support | $\underline{24.1 \%}$ |  |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 2 8}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{3 6 . 8} \%}$ |
| Oppose | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $78 \%$ |
| Somewhat oppose | 180 | $29.0 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose |  |  |


| Unsure | 67 | $10.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q19. Gambling Profits for Mental Health: If Alabama legalized gambling, would you support or oppose using a portion of the money for mental healthcare and to treat substance abuse and gambling addiction?

|  | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Support | $\underline{\mathbf{5 0 7}}$ | $\frac{\mathbf{8 1 . 8 \%}}{549}$ |
| Strongly support | 158 | $56.3 \%$ |
| Somewhat support |  | $25.5 \%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6 \%}$ |
| Oppose | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Somewhat oppose |  | $7.9 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | 35 | $5.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Unsure | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q20. Opioid Settlement: Alabama will receive nearly $\$ 249$ million over the next 15 years in opioid settlement money from specific pharmacies and drug makers for their role in the opioid epidemic. Do you support or oppose that the majority of that settlement should be used to fund substance abuse treatment and prevention in Alabama?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Support | $\underline{\mathbf{5 1 1}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{8 2 . 5 \%}}$ |
| Strongly support | 173 | $54.6 \%$ |
| Somewhat support | $\mathbf{2 7 . 9 \%}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0 \%}$ |
| Oppose | 30 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Somewhat oppose |  |  |
| Strongly oppose | 41 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Unsure | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |

Q21. Elected Official Impact: Would you be more likely or less likely to vote for an elected official who prioritizes funding mental healthcare?

|  | Freq. | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| More likely | 393 | 63.4\% |
| Much more likely | 194 | 31.3\% |
| Somewhat more likely | 199 | 32.1\% |
| Makes no difference | 108 | 17.4\% |
| Less likely | 32 | 5.2\% |
| Somewhat less likely | 16 | 2.5\% |
| Much less likely | 17 | 2.7\% |
| Unsure | 87 | 14.0\% |
| Total | 620 | 100.0\% |

Q22. Gender

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 347 | $56.0 \%$ |
| Male | 262 | $42.3 \%$ |
| Nonbinary | 11 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |


|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Under 55 | $\underline{\mathbf{3 2 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5 1 . 6} \%}$ |
| $18-29$ | 72 | $11.6 \%$ |
| $30-44$ | 144 | $23.2 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | 104 | $16.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ and Over | $\underline{\mathbf{3 0 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{4 8 . 4 \%}}$ |
| 55-64 | 118 | $19.0 \%$ |
| $65+$ | 182 | $29.4 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q24. Partisanship by Response

|  | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | $\underline{\mathbf{3 2 3}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5 2 . 1} \%}$ |
| Strongly Republican | 111 | $17.9 \%$ |
| Mostly Republican | $\underline{34.2 \%}$ |  |
| Independent | $\underline{\mathbf{1 0 5}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 7 . 0 \%}}$ |
| Democratic | $\underline{\mathbf{1 7 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 7 . 4}}$ |
| Mostly Democratic | 98 | $11.7 \%$ |
| Strongly Democratic |  |  |
| Unsure | 21 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q25. Community Type

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rural | 181 | $29.3 \%$ |
| Small town | 223 | $35.9 \%$ |
| Suburban | 168 | $27.1 \%$ |
| Urban | 48 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q26. Income by Response

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $\$ 25,000$ | 43 | $6.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 25,000-\$ 49,999$ | 121 | $19.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | 105 | $16.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 75,000-\$ 99,999$ | 88 | $14.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 100,000-\$ 124,999$ | 90 | $14.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 125,000+$ | 114 | $18.3 \%$ |
| Unsure | 59 | $9.6 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q27. Race/Ethnicity

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White or Caucasian | 425 | $68.5 \%$ |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 7 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Black or African American | 143 | $23.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 14 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Other | 17 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Unsure | 14 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q28. 2020 Retro Ballot

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Republican Donald Trump | 356 | $57.4 \%$ |
| Democrat Joe Biden | 197 | $31.9 \%$ |
| Another candidate | 17 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Did not vote in 2020 | 24 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Unsure | 26 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q29. Education Level

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No degree | $\frac{\mathbf{3 8 5}}{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{6 2 . 1} \%}$ |
| Some high school | 91 | $1.74 .7 \%$ |
| High school diploma/GED | 33 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Technical Certification | 250 | $40.2 \%$ |
| Some college or Associate's Degree |  |  |
| At least College | $\underline{\mathbf{2 2 9}}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 9 \%}$ |
| Four-year undergraduate or Bachelor's | 117 | $18.9 \%$ |
| Degree | 112 | $18.0 \%$ |
| Graduate degree or further |  |  |
|  | 6 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Unsure | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q30. General Election X of 4

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 of 4 | 17 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 1 of 4 | 80 | $13.0 \%$ |
| 2 of 4 | 111 | $18.0 \%$ |
| 3 of 4 | 138 | $22.3 \%$ |
| 4 of 4 | 273 | $44.0 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q31. Geo - DMA

|  | Freq. | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Huntsville-Decatur DMA | 133 | 21.4\% |
| Birmingham DMA | $\underline{256}$ | 41.3\% |
| Atlanta DMA | 7 | 1.2\% |
| Birmingham DMA | 246 | 39.7\% |
| Columbus-Tupelo-West Point DMA | 3 | 0.4\% |
| Montgomery DMA | 140 | 22.5\% |
| Columbus GA DMA | 30 | 4.8\% |
| Dothan DMA | 25 | 4.0\% |
| Meridian DMA | 5 | 0.7\% |
| Montgomery-Selma DMA | 80 | 13.0\% |
| Mobile-Pensacola DMA | 91 | 14.7\% |
| Total | 620 | 100.0\% |

Q32. Geo - Congressional District

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 87 | $14.0 \%$ |
| 2 | 81 | $13.1 \%$ |
| 3 | 85 | $13.8 \%$ |


| 4 | 87 | $14.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 94 | $15.2 \%$ |
| 6 | 99 | $15.9 \%$ |
| 7 | 87 | $14.0 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q33. Age + Income

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $<65<\$ 50 k$ | 109 | $17.6 \%$ |
| $<65 \$ 50 k-\$ 75 k$ | 68 | $11.0 \%$ |
| $<65 \$ 75 k-\$ 100 k$ | 60 | $9.7 \%$ |
| $<65 \$ 100 k+$ | 167 | $27.0 \%$ |
| $65+<\$ 50 k$ | 55 | $8.8 \%$ |
| $65+\$ 50 k-\$ 75 k$ | 37 | $5.9 \%$ |
| $65+\$ 75 k-\$ 100 k$ | 28 | $4.5 \%$ |
| $65+\$ 100 k+$ | 37 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Unsure | 59 | $9.6 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q34. Gender + Age

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| F 18-29 | 39 | $6.2 \%$ |
| F 30-44 | 82 | $13.1 \%$ |
| F 45-54 | 56 | $9.0 \%$ |
| F 55-64 | 65 | $10.5 \%$ |
| F 65+ | 106 | $17.1 \%$ |
| M 18-29 | 30 | $4.8 \%$ |
| M 30-44 | 62 | $9.9 \%$ |
| M 45-54 | 46 | $7.3 \%$ |
| M 55-64 | 50 | $8.1 \%$ |
| M 65+ | 75 | $12.1 \%$ |
| Nonbinary 18-29 | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Nonbinary 30-44 | 1 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Nonbinary 45-54 | 3 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Nonbinary 55-64 | 3 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Nonbinary 65+ | 2 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q35. Race + Gender

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White Female | 238 | $38.4 \%$ |
| White Male | 184 | $29.6 \%$ |
| White Nonbinary | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Asian Female | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Asian Male | 5 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Black Female | 89 | $14.4 \%$ |
| Black Male | 53 | $8.5 \%$ |
| Black Nonbinary | 1 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic Female | 7 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic Male | 6 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic Nonbinary | 1 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Other Female | 7 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Other Male | 6 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Other Nonbinary | 4 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Unsure | 14 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |


|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female At least College | 141 | $22.8 \%$ |
| Female No degree | 205 | $33.0 \%$ |
| Male At least College | 83 | $13.4 \%$ |
| Male No degree | 175 | $28.3 \%$ |
| Nonbinary At least College | 5 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Nonbinary No degree | 5 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Unsure | 6 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Total | 620 | $100.0 \%$ |

## METHODOLOGY

This probabilistic survey was conducted December 11 - 12, 2023, with 620 likely general election voters. It has a margin of error of $\pm 3.93 \%$. Known registered voters were interviewed via SMS and online panel. This survey was weighted to a likely general election voter universe.

## ABOUT THE FIRM

Cygnal is an award-winning international polling, public opinion, and predictive analytics firm that pioneered multimode polling, text-to-web collection, and emotive analysis. Cygnal consistently ranks as the most accurate firm, and clients rely on Cygnal's ability to create intelligence for action. Its team members have worked in all 50 states and multiple countries on more than 3,000 corporate, public affairs, and political campaigns.

